Effect of Stochastic Modulation of Inter-Pulse Interval during Stimulated Isokinetic Leg Extension
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Abstract— Recumbent cycling exercise achieved by functional electrical stimulation (FES) of the paralyzed leg muscles is effective for cardiopulmonary and musculoskeletal conditioning after spinal cord injury, but its full potential has not yet been realized. Mechanical power output and efficiency is very low and endurance is limited due to early onset of muscle fatigue. The aim of this work was to compare stochastic modulation of the inter-pulse interval (IPI) to constant-frequency stimulation during an isokinetic leg extension task similar to FES-cycling. Seven able-bodied subjects participated: both quadriceps muscles were stimulated ($n = 14$) with two activation patterns (P1-constant frequency, P2-stochastic IPI). There was significantly higher power output with P2 during the first 30 s ($p = 0.0092$), the last 30 s ($p = 0.018$) and overall ($p = 0.0057$), but there was no overall effect on fatigability when stimulation frequency was randomly modulated.

I. INTRODUCTION

Functional electrical stimulation (FES) is commonly used to perform a functional task by artificially activating paralyzed skeletal muscles. Similar to other applications of this technology, recumbent cycling exercise achieved by functional electrical stimulation (FES) of the paralyzed leg muscles is effective for cardiopulmonary [1] and musculoskeletal [2]–[4] conditioning after spinal cord injury, but its full potential has not yet been realised. Various studies highlighted current limitations of this technology: mechanical power output and efficiency are very low [5]–[9] and endurance is limited due to early onset of muscle fatigue [10].

Modulation of neuromuscular stimulation parameters (pulse amplitude, pulse width and frequency) as well as electrode positioning affects the muscle response to stimulation. The effect of pulse amplitude and duration on muscle fatigue is not as predominant as the effects of frequency [11]. Hence, different stimulation patterns (constant-frequency trains, initial doublet or triplet trains, doublet trains, and variable-frequency trains) have been studied [12]–[15] with the goal of maximizing force and minimizing fatigue. Various studies examined the performance of different muscle activation strategies applied to single muscle groups during the simplified task of non-isometric dynamometry. Increased force was obtained in non-fatigued and fatigued muscle using variable-frequency trains, in comparison to constant-frequency trains [16]. Other studies, [17]–[19], examined the effects of stochastic modulation of inter-pulse interval, which is equivalent to stochastically modulating the pulse frequency [18]. It was reported that the amount of time that a leg could be extended against gravity was significantly increased when the inter-pulse interval (IPI) was varied (compared to constant frequency stimulation), but the particular method employed of random modulation of amplitude and pulse width did not appear to have a significant effect on the fatigue rate and the force response of isometric contractions of the quadriceps [19].

During voluntary muscle contractions, trains of action potentials are asynchronous in time and some stochastic modulation of the spacing between the action potentials exists. FES, in contrast, usually employs synchronous stimulation and causes the muscle fibres to contract simultaneously [20]. Hence, the idea of stochastically modulating the IPI deserves more attention.

The aim of this work was to compare stochastic modulation of the IPI to constant IPI stimulation during an isokinetic leg extension task mimicking FES-cycling with respect to mechanical power output and fatigability.

II. METHODS

Short-term performance was compared using repeated, randomised application of different trains during a single experimental session. In order to eliminate the possible confounding effects of timing and possible co-contraction of other muscle groups, stimulation trains were applied repetitively to one key muscle group in isolation (quadriceps).

A. Subjects

Nine able-bodied subjects were recruited (seven males and two females, age 25-36 years). Subjects were required to abstain from intense physical activity involving the lower limbs during the 24 h prior to each test. Two subjects were not included in the data analysis because no observable response could be elicited from the quadriceps during stimulation. Thus, data were analyzed for an equivalent of 14 muscle groups ($n = 14$). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Canton of Bern, Switzerland. All participants gave written, informed consent.

B. Experimental setup

A seated leg extension/curl bench (RLE-382, Tuffstuff Fitness International, USA) was modified and equipped with sensors/actuators to perform an isokinetic knee extension task (Fig. 1a). Subjects were seated on the dynamometer with the shank securely attached to the rotating lever arm just below the knee. A brushless motor (EC45, Maxon Motor AG, Switzerland) was used to rotate the lever arm and the isokinetic knee joint torque was measured by a magnetostrictive torque sensor (S-2220-75, NCTE AG, Germany). A load cell (LCB130, Me-MessSysteme GmbH, Germany) was used to measure the force generated and to cross-calibrate the torque sensor.
A PC-controlled stimulator was used (Rehastim, Hasomed GmbH) which delivers biphasic current-controlled rectangular pulses through surface electrodes (Axelgaard, Pals Platinum, USA). Sensor data was fed into a data acquisition card (PCI-6221, National Instruments, USA) at 1 kHz sampling rate. Device control and data acquisition was implemented with Matlab/Simulink and the Real-Time Workshop (Mathworks, USA). A graphical user interface was also implemented to set the desired values of stimulation parameters and/or trains.

C. Experimental Protocol

For each subject, the experiment consisted of two sessions where the left and right quadriceps were stimulated for a total of 6 minutes: 3 minutes with a constant frequency pattern denoted as P1 and 3 minutes with stochastically-varied IPI denoted as pattern P2; there were short periods of motion but no stimulation before, between and after stimulation phases (Fig. 2). The design was counterbalanced by randomizing the order of presentation of P1 and P2, i.e. P1 then P2 vs. P2 then P1. The range of motion and angular velocity were set to mimic cycling at 50 rpm.

The maximum stimulation pulse width was found for each subject before the first session in a familiarization by gradually increasing the pulse width until the pain threshold and the maximally tolerated pain were reached. Then 80% of this maximum was used for the individual pulse width during the experiments. For each stimulation pattern (P1 and P2), pulse amplitude was kept constant at 40 mA. Each muscle was stimulated with 2 channels and the electrodes were placed on the vastus lateralis muscle motor point (MP_a) and vastus medialis motor point (MP_on) to improve the effectiveness of stimulation [21] (Fig. 1b). Muscle motor points were first detected with a stimulation pen (Motor Point Pen, Compex, Switzerland).

Each experiment started with a rest phase (2 min) where the lever arm moved the subject’s leg at a constant angular velocity without any stimulation. After the rest phase, stimulation starts with P1 or P2. If the subject’s right leg was stimulated first with P1, the left leg was stimulated starting with P2. It has been stated that even 10-min of rest is insufficient [18] but in order to examine the recovery effect compared with voluntary activation in a short-term protocol, a 3-min rest time was administered between each activation pattern [22]. For P2, the interpulse interval was stochastically modulated by setting the stimulation frequency as a normal distribution, \( f \sim \mathcal{N}(\bar{f} = 35 \text{ Hz}, \sigma_f = 15 \text{ Hz}) \). Here, \( \bar{f} \) is the mean frequency and \( \sigma_f \) the standard deviation. For P1, a constant frequency of 35 Hz was used.

D. Data evaluation

Power output (P) was assessed as the product of angular velocity and torque during knee extension. The mean power output in the first (P1) and last 30 s (P30) as well as total mean power (P_total) of each phase were evaluated. Differences between these outcomes between P1 and P2 were examined using paired t-tests (data normality was checked using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). The significance level was set to \( \alpha = 0.05 \). Mean differences (MD) and 95% confidence intervals were also calculated.

Fatigue [4] was measured for power output values for each stimulation pattern and is shown as the percentage power output loss: \( P_{\text{loss}} = 100\% \cdot (P_{\text{P30}} - P_{\text{P30}}(\text{rest})) / P_{\text{P30}}(\text{rest}) \) of each stimulation phase. All statistical analysis was carried out using the Matlab Statistics Toolbox (Mathworks Inc, USA).

III. RESULTS

There was significantly higher power output with the stochastically-modulated pattern P2 during the first 30 s (P30) of each phase were evaluated. Differences between these outcomes between P1 and P2 were examined using paired t-tests (data normality was checked using a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). The significance level was set to \( \alpha = 0.05 \). Mean differences (MD) and 95% confidence intervals were also calculated.

TABLE 1. PRIMARY OUTCOMES FOR PAIRED COMPARISONS AND p-VALUES FOR COMPARISON OF MEANS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>MD (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>P2-P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{\text{F30}} [W]</td>
<td>11.96 (3.40)</td>
<td>15.66 (6.24)</td>
<td>3.71 (1.09,6.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{\text{F30}} [W]</td>
<td>8.98 (2.56)</td>
<td>11.32 (3.88)</td>
<td>2.34 (0.46,4.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{\text{F10}} [W]</td>
<td>10.66 (2.46)</td>
<td>13.95 (4.79)</td>
<td>3.29 (1.14,5.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_{\text{F10}} [%]</td>
<td>22.8% (22.9)</td>
<td>23.5% (17.4)</td>
<td>0.6 (-15.05,16.25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 14, MD: Mean Difference, SD: Standard Deviation, CI: Confidence Interval
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(a) The Knee Dynamometer designed to measure isokinetic knee joint torque. (b) Electrode setup on the right leg.
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Figure 2. Test protocol. The order of presentation of P1 and P2 was randomly selected for each leg.

Figure 3. The range of motion and angular velocity were set to mimic cycling at 50 rpm.
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Figure 4. For each subject, the experiment consisted of two sessions where the left and right quadriceps were stimulated for a total of 6 minutes: 3 minutes with a constant frequency pattern denoted as P1 and 3 minutes with stochastically-varied IPI denoted as pattern P2; there were short periods of motion but no stimulation before, between and after stimulation phases (Fig. 2). The design was counterbalanced by randomizing the order of presentation of P1 and P2, i.e. P1 then P2 vs. P2 then P1. The range of motion and angular velocity were set to mimic cycling at 50 rpm.
IV. DISCUSSION

This work set out to compare stochastic modulation of the IPI to constant frequency stimulation during an isokinetic leg extension task similar to FES-cycling.

Crude control of muscle groups is one of the main factors responsible for low power outputs achieved with FES [8]. This study demonstrated significantly higher power output with the stochastically-modulated P2 compared to stimulation with constant frequency P1.

The results showed no overall effect on reducing fatigability (P_{low}) when stimulation frequency was randomly modulated. Previous research [13], [23] indicates that constant high frequency stimulation protocols produce more fatigue than constant low frequency stimulation protocols. Further investigation should be carried out in progressive randomized modulation of IPIs (40 Hz < f < 60 Hz and 20Hz < f < 30Hz). Significantly lower rates of muscle fatigue observed in a previous study [17] could have been the result of recruiting more muscle fibres at higher frequencies (f > 50 Hz). Marsden et al. [24] have shown that the degree of muscle fatigue is directly related to the number of pulses received by the muscle. Therefore, in general, the greater the pulse frequency, the more rapidly fatigue develops. Further investigation is needed to compare the number of pulses received by a muscle during short term experiments in order to analyse fatigue rate in detail.

Although previous studies indicate that repeatable results have been achieved using at least 10-min rest time [18], [23], [25], [26], in our experiments, a 3-min rest time did not show any layover effect. This could be due to the short-term (2 x 3 minutes) stimulation protocol and the intermittent stimulation protocol (no stimulation during knee flexion, similar to FES-cycling).

These observations motivate further examination of different randomization strategies for maximum mechanical advantage: in contrast to constant-frequency stimulation, where motor units of different type are recruited in a non-selective, spatially fixed, and temporally synchronous pattern [27], stochastic modulation of IPI is more akin to natural stimulation which has varying discharge patterns employing non-synchronous, selective recruitment and which exploits high-frequency bursts and the catch-like property [28].

V. CONCLUSION

This study showed that stimulation strategies that use randomized modulation of IPIs can improve the ability of functional electrical stimulation applications to perform repetitive, non-isometric contractions with significantly higher power output in short term tasks.
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